| AMSTER | ROTHSTEIN | & EBENSTEIN _{llp}

Intellectual Property Law

90 Park Avenue New York NY 10016

Main 212 336 8000 Fax 212 336 8001 Web www.arelaw.com

August 11, 2005

Partners
Morton Amster
Jesse Rothstein (1934-2003)
Daniel Ebenstein
Philip H. Gottfried
Michael J. Berger
Neil M. Zipkin
Anthony F. Lo Cicero
Kenneth P. George
Abraham Kasdan, Ph.D.
Ira E. Silfin
Chester Rothstein
Craig J. Arnold
Kenneth M. Bernstein

Senior Counsel Charles R. Macedo Mark J. Rosenberg Nancy M. Dodderidge Joseph M. Casino

Associates
Neal L. Rosenberg
Patrick Boland*
John S. Economou
Michael V. Solomita
Holly Pekowsky
Michael P. Kenney
Marion P. Metelski

Max Vern
Karl J. Kolbinger
Brian A. Comack
Richard S. Mandaro
Marc J. Jason
Elie H. Gendloff, Ph.D.
David Mirnick
Charles W. Rath
David A. Boag
Karen J. Bernstein
Matthieu Hausig
Jung S. Hahm
Reiko Kaji
Alan D. Miller, Ph.D.

Hsin-Hsin (Ginger) Liu John Lepore Norajean McCaffrey Benjamin M. Halpern* Matthew A. Fox Michael J. Kasdan Sasha B. Rieders Rebecca R. Eisenberg

* Not admitted in New York

Via HAND DELIVERY

Hon. James M. Munley United States District Judge William J. Nealon Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse 235 N. Washington Avenue P.O. Box 1148 Scranton, PA 18501 Anthony F. Lo Cicero Direct 212 336 8110 E-mail alocicero@arelaw.com

Re: Calvin Clothing Company, Inc. v. Calvin Klein, Inc. et al.

Civil Action No. 3:04-CV-2729

Our File: 16181/3

Dear Judge Munley:

We represent the plaintiff, Calvin Clothing Company, Inc., in this action. This is in response to opposing counsel Michael Perry's letter of August 4, 2005 which made reference to the action that was filed by the defendants against the plaintiff and its licensee a few days ago in the Southern District of New York. The New York action deals with the same subject matter as that in this case and is another attempt to deprive the plaintiff of its right to litigate in Scranton.

Please be advised that the defendants in the New York action (including Calvin Clothing Company) will be moving to dismiss, transfer or stay the New York action in favor of the above action which has been pending before your Honor for more than seven months.

In light of your Honor's judicial preferences, which we have reviewed, we are reluctant to communicate by this letter, but we feel compelled to do so in order to respond to the inappropriate communication from opposing counsel.

Case 3:04-cv-02729-JMM Document 44 Filed 08/12/05 Page 2 of 2

Hon. James M. Munley

-2-

August 11, 2005

Very truly yours,

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP

Antifony F. LoCicero

AFLoC:MJJ/sb

cc: Edward E. Vassallo, Esq.